Eklavya Sarkar, Biometrics Security and Privacy





Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)



- Generative modelling → progress in facial image generation
- Newer and more sophisticated methods every year









Supervised Learning

1	 	
2	 	
3	 	

Training Data Labels



Supervised Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs):

- Take an *input*
- Produce an *output*
- Optimized with a loss-function

Predictions



Supervised Learning

Input x



Encode

Supervised Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs):

• Take an *input*

Output y

- Produce an *output*
- Optimized with a *loss-function*

4

Unsupervised Learning

- Generative models are different.
- Instead of taking a sample as *input*, they produce a sample as *output*.



Unsupervised Learning

- Train model on photographs of cats
 - Learn to produce new cat-like images
- Train it on known drug molecules
 - Generate new 'drug-like' molecules to use as candidates in a virtual screen.
- A generative model is trained on samples which are drawn from a: probability distribution
- Its job is to produce new samples from that same probability distribution.



Auto-Encoder

Input x









Auto-Encoder

- **Encoder**: samples \rightarrow compressed representations
- **Decoder**: compressed representations in latent space \rightarrow original samples
- What if we take *random vectors* in the latent space (picking a random value for each component of the vector) and pass them through the decoder ?
- If everything goes well, the decoder should produce a completely *new sample* that *resembles* the ones it was trained on.



Auto-Encoder

- Problem ?
 - Doesn't work very well.
- Encoder may only produce vectors in a *small* region of the latent space.
- But if we pick a vector from *outside* that region ?
 - Output could look nothing like the training samples
- Decoder has only learned to work for the particular latent vectors from encoder Not for *arbitrary* ones.



Variational Auto-Encoder (VAE)

- Solution ?
 - Variational Auto-Encoder
- distribution, e.g. $\mathcal{N} \sim (0,1)$
 - We can *expect* the decoder to work well on them
- We add random noise to the latent vector Prevents decoder from being too sensitive to details



Add a term to the loss function that *forces* the latent vectors to follow a specified









Decode



Simplified VAE Representation







Encoder-Decoder

Encode



- An encoder is just a convolutional operation coupled with an activation function
- A **decoder** is de-convolutional operation and activation function
- De-conv2D is just a transposed
 Conv2D





Encoder-Decoder

Encode



Encoder tf.nn.conv2d() tf.nn.relu()

Decoder tf.nn.relu()

tf.nn.conv2d_transpose()





Latent Distribution



- The latent vector $z \sim (\mu, \sigma)$
- Compressed representation of input x
- $q_{\Phi}(z | x)$ encodes x to z
- $p_{\theta}(x \mid z)$ decodes z to x
- θ and Φ represent weights and biases
- Remember: we sample from the distribution $z \rightarrow$ lossy reconstruction



VAE Applications

Colorize B&W images

- Encoder-Decoder architecture
- Inception ResNet V2 Classifier

256x256



Emil Wallner, 2017. Colorizing B&W Photos with Neural Networks. FloydHub.



- Works similarly to VAEs
 - Uses encoder-decoder system
 - Converts latent vectors into samples
 - 'Generator' instead of decoder
- But trains in a different way:
 - Passes random vectors into the generator

Directly evaluates the outputs on how well they follow the expected distribution



- Essentially create a loss function to measure:
 - How well generated samples match the training samples
 - Use that loss function to optimise the model
- How to make such a loss-function ?
 - You don't.
 - GAN learns the loss function from the data.





- **Generator**: takes random vectors → generates synthetic samples
- **Discriminator**: tries to *distinguish* between
 - The generated samples from real training samples
 - It takes a sample as input and outputs a probability of being a real sample
 - This acts as a loss function for the generator.













- **Generator** and **discriminator** are run *simultaneously*.
- Generator tries to 'fool' discriminator by presenting realistic samples \bullet Discriminator tries to get better at distinguishing real from fake samples \bullet
- Min-max game with value function V(G, D): ullet $\min_{G} \max_{D} V(D,G) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)}[\log D(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_z(z)}[\log(1 - D(G(z)))]$ $G \quad D$
 - Generative Adversarial Networks



GAN Applications

Colorize B&W images

- Even more advanced and realistic
- GAN based architecture



Jason Antic, 2018. DeOldify: Colorizing and Restoring Old Images and Videos with Deep Learning. FloydHub.



VAE vs GANs

Roughly:

- GANs tend to produce higher-quality samples
- VAEs tend to produce higher-quality distributions

Very active field of research \rightarrow Things changing constantly !



Individual samples generated by GANs more closely resemble training samples Range of samples generated by VAE more closely match range of training samples



Progression of GANs

- 2014: Original GAN
- 2015: Deep Convolutional GAN
- 2016: Coupled GAN
- 2017: Progressively Growing GAN
- 2018: Style-based GAN
- 2019: StyleGAN 2
- 2021: ?





2014 2015



2016



2017

2018





2019



StyleGAN 2



Source input image

Projection into FFHQ-StyleGAN2's W Latent Space Projection into FFHQ-StyleGAN2's *W*+ Latent Space Projection into Toon-StyleGAN2's Latent Space





Thank you !



Room 207-2, Idiap Research Institute

www.idiap.ch/~esarkar/



0

+41 78 82 50 754



eklavya.sarkar@idiap.ch



Latent Space

Generator



Discriminator

